Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)
	10/786,806	ZHANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin M. Bernatz	1773
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Kevin M. Bernatz</u> .	(3)	
(2) Stephen Ackerman.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 June 1930	Time: <u>AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1, 12		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner proposed language to bring the case into condition for allowance. Applicants agreed.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
In M. late		
(Éxaminer/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature - if appropriate)